

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. •	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,683	11/09/2006	Michael J. Elder	09086-00228-US	8582
34872 BASELL USA	7590 10/09/2007 INC		EXAM	INER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A	
912 APPLETO ELKTON, MD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EERTON, ME 21721			1625	•
•				
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,683	ELDER ET AL. Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		
	Taofiq A. Solola	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-15 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	· ·		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-15 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · ·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for four	an priority under 25 H C C	S 110(a) (d) or (f)	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 0.5.C.	3 113(a)-(u) or (i).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gii priority under 35 0.5.C.	3 119(a)-(u) of (i).	
		3 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	ents have been received.		
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in A	Application No	
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure 	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure 	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ariority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies not	Application No received in this National Stage received.	
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ariority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies not	Application No received in this National Stage	

Application/Control Number: 10/539,683

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-8, 10-15 are pending in this application.

Claim 9 is deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8, 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The phrase "C1-C40 carbon-containing group" is not defined in the specification so as to ascertain the structure of compounds that are included and/or excluded by the phrase.

Appropriate correction is required. In patent examination, it is essential for claims to be precise, clear, correct, and unambiguous. *In re Zletz*, 893 F.2d 319, 13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Applicant must show possession of the invention by describing it with all the claimed limitations. *Lookwood v. American Airlines Inc.* 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (Fed Cir. 1997).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8, 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1625

The phrase "C1-C40 carbon-containing group" is not defined in the claims so as to ascertain the metes and bounds of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryabov et al., Organomettalics (2002), Vol. 21(14), pp. 2842-2855. Published on the Web 6/8/02.

Applicant claims a process of making compounds of formula I, comprising reacting a compound of formula II with a compound of formula III or IV, in the presence of at least one strong organic acid and at least one water absorbent. The reaction temperature is between 50-110°C. In preferred embodiments, the organic acid is alkylsufonic acid and the water absorbent is phosphorous pentoxide. Applicant also claims variable ratios of formula II:III and different amounts of the acid and absorbent.

<u>Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP 2141.01)</u>

Ryabov et al., teach similar processes wherein in scheme 2, H₃PO₄ and phosphorous pentoxide are used while in scheme 4, alkylsufonic acid and phosphorous pentoxide are used. In scheme 2, the reaction is at 50°C while in 4 it is at room temperature. See paragraph 2, page 2850 and paragraph 1, page 2852.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP 2141.02)

Application/Control Number: 10/539,683

Art Unit: 1625

The difference between the instant invention and that of the Ryabov et al., is that applicant combines the 2 processes by Ryabov et al., into one process and claims 50-110°C instead of 50°C.

Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP 32142.2413)

However, temperature range of 50-110°C embraces 50°C. Changing the temperature, ratios of formula II:III and the amounts of the acid and absorbent is a mere optimization of variables, which is not patentable absent unexpected result due to each variable, which is different in kind and not merely in degree from that of the prior art. *In re Aller*, 22 F.2d 454,105 USPG 233 (CCPA, 1955), *In re Boesch and Slaney*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA, 1980). For formula IV to produce formula I, it must dissociates in solution to 2 molecules of formula III.

Therefore, the instant invention is prima facie obvious from the teaching of Ryabov et al.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have known to change the temperature, ratios of the starting reagents and the amounts of the acid and absorbent, at the time the invention was made. The motivation is to optimize the yield of the product.

Alternatively, Applicant has done no more than combine separate but well-known inventions (two separate processes by Ryabov et al). While the combination may perform a useful function it did no more than what they would have done separately. *In re Anderson*, 396 U.S. 57, 163 USPQ 673 (1969) cited in *KSR Int. Co. v. Teleflex Inc*, 550 U.S. ----, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007).

When a patent simply arranges old elements with each performing the same function it had been known to perform and yields predictable result, the combination is obvious. *In re-Sakraida*, 425 US 273, 189 USPQ 449 (1976) cited in *KSR*, *supra*. A patent for such combination "obviously withdraws what is already known into the field of its monopoly." *Great*

Application/Control Number: 10/539,683 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co. v. Supermarket Equipment Corp., 340 U.S. 147, 187 USPQ 303 (1950), cited in KSR, supra.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofiq A. Solola, PhD. JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

TAOFIQ SOLOLA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Group 1625

September 28, 2007